Adaptive inference and its relations to sequential decision making

Alexandra Carpentier¹

OvGU Magdeburg

Based on joint works with Olga Klopp, Samory Kpotufe, Andréa Locatelli, Matthias Löffler, Richard Nickl

Criteo, Oct. 2nd, 2019

¹Partly funded by the DFG EN CA1488, the CRC 1294, the GK 2297, the CK 2433



Problem

Finding/Exploiting the maximum M(f) of an unknown function f.



Problem

Finding/Exploiting the maximum M(f) of an unknown function f.

Question

Can we design algorithms that adapt to the difficulty of the problem?

Depending on the difficulty of the problem, we would hope to get different performances :



Depending on the difficulty of the problem, we would hope to get different performances :



Question

Can we adapt to the hyperparameters?

Scope of this talk

Talk :

- ▶ Presentation of adaptive inference in statistics.
- ► Adaptivity in continuously armed bandits.

ADAPTIVE INFERENCE

Problem : Non-parametric regression



Inference (estimation + uncertainty quantification) of the function?

Problem : Non-parametric regression



Inference (estimation + uncertainty quantification) of the function?

Problem : Non-parametric regression



Inference (estimation + uncertainty quantification) of the function?

The Model

f: function on $[0,1]^d$. n observed data samples $(X_i, Y_i)_{i \le n}$:

$$Y_i = f(X_i) + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $X_i \sim_{iid} \mathcal{U}_{[0,1]^d}$ and ε is an indep. centered noise s. t. $|\varepsilon| \leq 1$.

Problem : Non-parametric regression



Inference (estimation + uncertainty quantification) of the function?

The Model

f: function on $[0,1]^d$. n observed data samples $(X_i, Y_i)_{i \le n}$:

$$Y_i = f(X_i) + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $X_i \sim_{iid} \mathcal{U}_{[0,1]^d}$ and ε is an indep. centered noise s. t. $|\varepsilon| \leq 1$.

Problem : Non-parametric regression



Inference (estimation + uncertainty quantification) of the function?

The Model

f: function on $[0, 1]^d$. n observed data samples $(X_i, Y_i)_{i \le n}$:

 $Y_i = f(X_i) + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$

where $X_i \sim_{iid} \mathcal{U}_{[0,1]^d}$ and ε is an indep. centered noise s. t. $|\varepsilon| \leq 1$.

 $\mathcal{C}(\alpha) = \{ \text{Hoelder ball } (\alpha) \}.$

E.g. for $\alpha \leq 1$

$$\{f: |f(x) - f(y)| \le ||x - y||_{\infty}^{\alpha}\}.$$

Problem : Non-parametric regression



Question : If $f \in C(\alpha)$, then the "optimal" precision of inference should depend on α . Inference adaptive to α ?

Inference (estimation + uncertainty quantification) of the function?

Adaptive estimation and confidence statements : See

[Lepski, 1990-92], [Juditsky and

Lambert-Lacroix, 1994], [Donoho and Johnstone,

1990-92], [Low, 2004-06], [Birgé and Massart,

1994-00], [Giné and Nickl, 2010], etc.

- "Large" sets $C_0 \subset C_1$ e.g. $C_0 =: C(\gamma)$ and $C_1 =: C(\alpha)$ with $\alpha < \gamma$.
- Associated probability distributions \mathbb{P}_f for $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$
- Receive a dataset of n
 i.i.d. entries according to P_f

Adaptive inference : Adaptation to the set C_h when $f \in C_h, h \in \{0, 1\}.$



Adaptive estimation and confidence statements : See

[Lepski, 1990-92], [Juditsky and

Lambert-Lacroix, 1994], [Donoho and Johnstone,

1990-92], [Low, 2004-06], [Birgé and Massart,

1994-00], [Giné and Nickl, 2010], etc.

- "Large" sets $C_0 \subset C_1$ e.g. $C_0 =: C(\gamma)$ and $C_1 =: C(\alpha)$ with $\alpha < \gamma$.
- Associated probability distributions \mathbb{P}_f for $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$
- Receive a dataset of n
 i.i.d. entries according to P_f

Estimation :

• Minimax-optimal estimation errors r_0 (over C_0) and r_1 (over C_1) in $\|.\|$ norm

Minimax-opt. est. error

$$r_h = \inf_{\tilde{f} \text{ est. } f \in \mathcal{C}_h} \mathbb{E}_f \|\tilde{f} - f\|, \ h \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Minimax-optimal $\|.\|_{\infty}$ est. error in non-param. reg. $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$: $\Box \left(\frac{\log(n)}{n}\right)^{\alpha/(2\alpha+d)}$.

See [Lepski, 1990-92, etc].

Adaptive estimation and confidence statements : See

[Lepski, 1990-92], [Juditsky and

Lambert-Lacroix, 1994], [Donoho and Johnstone,

1990-92], [Low, 2004-06], [Birgé and Massart,

1994-00], [Giné and Nickl, 2010], etc.

- "Large" sets $C_0 \subset C_1$ e.g. $C_0 =: C(\gamma)$ and $C_1 =: C(\alpha)$ with $\alpha < \gamma$.
- Associated probability distributions \mathbb{P}_f for $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$
- Receive a dataset of n
 i.i.d. entries according to P_f

Adaptive estimation :

• Minimax-optimal estimation errors r_0 (over C_0) and r_1 (over C_1) in $\|.\|$ norm



Adaptive estimation and confidence statements : See

[Lepski, 1990-92], [Juditsky and

Lambert-Lacroix, 1994], [Donoho and Johnstone,

1990-92], [Low, 2004-06], [Birgé and Massart,

1994-00], [Giné and Nickl, 2010], etc.

- "Large" sets $C_0 \subset C_1$ e.g. $C_0 =: C(\gamma)$ and $C_1 =: C(\alpha)$ with $\alpha < \gamma$.
- Associated probability distributions \mathbb{P}_f for $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$
- Receive a dataset of n
 i.i.d. entries according to P_f

Adaptive estimation :

- Minimax-optimal estimation errors r_0 (over C_0) and r_1 (over C_1) in $\|.\|$ norm
- In many models : adaptive estimator \hat{f} exists

Adaptive estimation $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{C}_h} \mathbb{E}_f \|\hat{f} - f\| \le \Box r_h, \quad \forall h \in \{0, 1\}.$

Adaptive estimators exist in non-param. reg. See [Lepski, 1990-92, Donoho and Johnstone, 1998, etc].

Adaptive estimation and confidence statements : See

[Lepski, 1990-92], [Juditsky and

Lambert-Lacroix, 1994], [Donoho and Johnstone,

1990-92], [Low, 2004-06], [Birgé and Massart,

1994-00], [Giné and Nickl, 2010], etc.

- "Large" sets $C_0 \subset C_1$ e.g. $C_0 =: C(\gamma)$ and $C_1 =: C(\alpha)$ with $\alpha < \gamma$.
- Associated probability distributions \mathbb{P}_f for $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$
- Receive a dataset of n
 i.i.d. entries according to P_f

Adaptive and honest confidence sets :

- Minimax-optimal estimation errors r_0 , r_1 in $\|.\|$ norm
- Confidence set \hat{C} : contains f and has adaptive diameter



Adaptive estimation and confidence statements : See

[Lepski, 1990-92], [Juditsky and

Lambert-Lacroix, 1994], [Donoho and Johnstone,

1990-92], [Low, 2004-06], [Birgé and Massart,

1994-00], [Giné and Nickl, 2010], etc.

- "Large" sets $C_0 \subset C_1$ e.g. $C_0 =: C(\gamma)$ and $C_1 =: C(\alpha)$ with $\alpha < \gamma$.
- Associated probability distributions \mathbb{P}_f for $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$
- Receive a dataset of n
 i.i.d. entries according to P_f

Adaptive and honest confidence sets :

- Minimax-optimal estimation errors r_0 , r_1 in $\|.\|$ norm
- Confidence set \hat{C} : contains f and has adaptive diameter

```
\eta-adapt. and honest conf. set
Honesty :
\sup_{f \in \mathcal{C}_1} \mathbb{P}_f(f \in \hat{C}) \ge 1 - \eta.Adaptivity :
\sup_{f \in \mathcal{C}_h} \mathbb{E}_f \|\hat{C}\| \le \Box r_h, \quad \forall h \in \{0, 1\}.
```

Adaptive estimation and confidence statements : See

[Lepski, 1990-92], [Juditsky and

Lambert-Lacroix, 1994], [Donoho and Johnstone,

1990-92], [Low, 2004-06], [Birgé and Massart,

1994-00], [Giné and Nickl, 2010], etc.

- "Large" sets $C_0 \subset C_1$ e.g. $C_0 =: C(\gamma)$ and $C_1 =: C(\alpha)$ with $\alpha < \gamma$.
- Associated probability distributions \mathbb{P}_f for $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$
- Receive a dataset of n
 i.i.d. entries according to P_f

Adaptive and honest confidence sets :

- Minimax-optimal estimation errors r_0 , r_1 in $\|.\|$ norm
- Confidence set \hat{C} : contains f and has adaptive diameter



Adaptive estimation and confidence statements : See

[Lepski, 1990-92], [Juditsky and

Lambert-Lacroix, 1994], [Donoho and Johnstone,

1990-92], [Low, 2004-06], [Birgé and Massart,

1994-00], [Giné and Nickl, 2010], etc.

• "Large" sets
$$C_0 \subset C_1$$

e.g. $C_0 =: C(\gamma)$ and $C_1 =: C(\alpha)$
with $\alpha < \gamma$.

• Associated probability distributions \mathbb{P}_f for $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$

Receive a dataset of n
 i.i.d. entries according to P_f

In non-parametric regression :

Adaptive and honest confidence sets do not exist. See [Cai and Low (2004)], [Hoffmann and Nickl (2011)], etc.

Indeed minimax rate for testing between $C_0 = C(\gamma)$ and $C_1 = C(\alpha)$ in $\|.\|_{\infty}$ norm is:

$$\left(\frac{\log(n)}{n}\right)^{-\alpha/(2\alpha+d)} = r_1 \gg r_0.$$

Common situation, adaptive inference paradox - see [Gine and Nickl, 2011], [C, Klopp, Löffler, Nickl, 2017] for a systematic study and relations to a testing problem.

Problem :

Application : Recommendation system (e.g. Netflix).



Inference (estimation + uncertainty quantification) of the matrix?

Problem :

Application : Recommendation system (e.g. Netflix).



Inference (estimation + uncertainty quantification) of the matrix?

Trace Regression Model

f: matrix of dimension $d \times d$. n observed data samples $(X_i, Y_i)_{i < n}$:

$$Y_i = f_{X_i} + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $X_i \sim_{iid} \mathcal{U}_{\{1,\ldots,d\}^2}$ and ε is an indep. centered noise s. t. $|\varepsilon| \leq 1$.



Problem :

Application : Recommendation system (e.g. Netflix).



Inference (estimation + uncertainty quantification) of the matrix?

Bernoulli Model

f : matrix of dimension $d\times d.$ Data

$$Y_{i,j} = (f_{i,j} + \varepsilon_{i,j}) B_{i,j}, \ (i,j) \in \{1, \dots, d\}^2,$$

where $B_{i,j} \sim_{iid} \mathcal{B}(n/d^2)$ and ε is an indep. centered noise such that $|\varepsilon| \leq 1$.



Problem :

Application : Recommendation system (e.g. Netflix).



Inference (estimation + uncertainty quantification) of the matrix?

High dimensional regime : $d^2 \ge n$.

Problem :

Application : Recommendation system (e.g. Netflix).



Let for
$$1 \le k \le d$$

$$\mathcal{C}(k) = \{f : \operatorname{rank}(f) \le k, \|f\|_{\infty} \le 1\}.$$

Inference (estimation + uncertainty quantification) of the matrix?

High dimensional regime : $d^2 \ge n$.

Problem :

Application : Recommendation system (e.g. Netflix).



Inference (estimation + uncertainty quantification) of the matrix?

High dimensional regime : $d^2 \ge n$.

Let for $1 \le k \le d$ $\mathcal{C}(k) = \{f : \operatorname{rank}(f) \le k, \|f\|_{\infty} \le 1\}.$

Question : If $f \in C(k)$, then the "optimal" precision of inference should depend on k. Inference adaptive to k?

Adaptive estimation

There exists an adaptive estimator \hat{f} of $f \in \mathcal{C}(k)$ that achieves the minimax-optimal error r_k over all $\mathcal{C}(k)$

$$\mathbb{E}\|\tilde{f} - f\|_F \le \Box d\sqrt{\frac{kd}{n}} := \Box r_k.$$

where $\|.\|$ is the Frobenius norm, [Keshavan et al., 2009, Cai et al., 2010, Kolchinskii et al., 2011, Klopp and Gaiffas, 2015].

In terms of *estimation* of f, these two models are equivalent. **Question :** Adaptive and honest confidence set scaling with r_k

Adaptive estimation

There exists an adaptive estimator \hat{f} of $f \in \mathcal{C}(k)$ that achieves the minimax-optimal error r_k over all $\mathcal{C}(k)$

$$\mathbb{E}\|\tilde{f} - f\|_F \le \Box d\sqrt{\frac{kd}{n}} := \Box r_k.$$

where $\|.\|$ is the Frobenius norm, [Keshavan et al., 2009, Cai et al., 2010, Kolchinskii et al., 2011, Klopp and Gaiffas, 2015].

Question : Adaptive and honest confidence set scaling with r_k ?

Matrix completion : Trace regression

Problem :

Application : Recommendation system (e.g. Netflix).



Inference (estimation + uncertainty quantification) of the matrix?

Trace Regression Model

f: matrix of dimension $d \times d$. n observed data samples $(X_i, Y_i)_{i \le n}$:

$$Y_i = f_{X_i} + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $X_i \sim_{iid} \mathcal{U}_{\{1,\ldots,d\}^2}$ and ε is an indep. centered noise s. t. $|\varepsilon| \leq 1$.



Confidence sets : Trace Regression Model

Theorem (C., Klopp, Löffler and Nickl, 2016)

In the matrix completion "trace regression" model, η -adaptive and honest confidence sets exist.

Dimension reduction in the smaller model not too radical.

Matrix completion : Bernoulli Model

Problem :

Application : Recommendation system (e.g. Netflix).



Inference (estimation + uncertainty quantification) of the matrix?

Bernoulli Model

 $f: \text{ matrix of dimension } d \times d.$ Data

$$Y_{i,j} = (f_{i,j} + \varepsilon_{i,j})B_{i,j}, \ (i,j) \in \{1, \dots, d\}^2$$

where $B_{i,j} \sim_{iid} \mathcal{B}(n/d^2)$ and ε is an indep. centered noise such that $|\varepsilon| \leq 1$.



Confidence sets : Bernoulli Model

Theorem (C., Klopp, Löffler and Nickl, 2016)

- Bernoulli Model with known noise variance : Adaptive and honest confidence sets exist.
- Bernoulli Model with unknown noise variance : Adaptive and honest confidence sets do not exist.

The two models are not equivalent in this case!

(Simplified) Idea of the proof : Unknown variance

No entries sampled twice! First example : rank one

 H_0 : Random opinions! Customers

 H_1 : Rank one opinions. Customers



(Simplified) Idea of the proof : Unknown variance

No entries sampled twice! First example : rank one

 H_0 : Random opinions! Customers

 H_1 : Rank one opinions. Customers



(Simplified) Idea of the proof : Unknown variance

No entries sampled twice! First example : rank one

 H_0 : Random opinions! Customers

Products




No entries sampled twice! First example : rank one



Less than $\frac{n^4}{d^4}$ such cycles whp \rightarrow distinguishability only if $n \gg d$.

No entries sampled twice! General case : rank k



 $H_{1}: \text{Rank one opinions.} \\ \text{Customers} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & \\ \text{stpmpod} \\ \text{I} \\ \text{I$

No entries sampled twice! General case : rank k



No entries sampled twice! General case : rank k



No entries sampled twice! General case : rank k



Less than $\frac{n^4}{d^4k^3}$ correct cycles (taking rank groups into account) \rightarrow distinguishability only if $n \gg k^{3/4}d$.

Conclusion on adaptive inference

Adaptive inference paradox: adaptive estimation is generally possible and adaptive uncertainty quantification mostly not.

We have seen that in the non-parametric regression with L_{∞} norm:

- ► Adaptive estimation is possible
- ▶ Adaptive and honest confidence sets do not exist

Typical example of adaptive inference paradox.

ADAPTIVITY IN \mathcal{X} ARMED BANDITS

Non-Convex Optimization



Problem

Finding/Exploiting the maximum M(f) of an unknown function f.

Question

Can we design algorithms that adapt to the difficulty of the problem?

Non-Convex Optimization



Problem

Finding/Exploiting the maximum M(f) of an unknown function f.

Question

Can we design algorithms that adapt to the difficulty of the problem?

Non-Convex Optimization

Depending on the difficulty of the problem, we would hope to get different performances :



Question

Can we adapt to the hyperparameters?

- Parameters : function f with $M(f) = \max_x f(x), n$
- for t = 1, ..., n
 - learner picks $X_t \in [0, 1]^d$
 - receives $Y_t = f(X_t) + \epsilon_t$, ϵ indep. noise s.t. $\mathbb{E}\epsilon_t = 0, |\epsilon_t| \le 1$
- output $x(n) \in [0,1]^d$

• **Parameters :** function f with $M(f) = \max_x f(x), n$

• for t = 1, ..., n

- ▶ learner picks $X_t \in [0, 1]^d$
- receives $Y_t = f(X_t) + \epsilon_t$, ϵ indep. noise s.t. $\mathbb{E}\epsilon_t = 0, |\epsilon_t| \le 1$



• **Parameters :** function f with $M(f) = \max_x f(x), n$

• for t = 1, ..., n

- ▶ learner picks $X_t \in [0, 1]^d$
- receives $Y_t = f(X_t) + \epsilon_t$, ϵ indep. noise s.t. $\mathbb{E}\epsilon_t = 0, |\epsilon_t| \le 1$



• **Parameters :** function f with $M(f) = \max_x f(x), n$

• for t = 1, ..., n

- ▶ learner picks $X_t \in [0, 1]^d$
- receives $Y_t = f(X_t) + \epsilon_t$, ϵ indep. noise s.t. $\mathbb{E}\epsilon_t = 0, |\epsilon_t| \le 1$



• **Parameters :** function f with $M(f) = \max_x f(x), n$

• for t = 1, ..., n

- ▶ learner picks $X_t \in [0, 1]^d$
- receives $Y_t = f(X_t) + \epsilon_t$, ϵ indep. noise s.t. $\mathbb{E}\epsilon_t = 0, |\epsilon_t| \le 1$



• **Parameters :** function f with $M(f) = \max_x f(x), n$

• for t = 1, ..., n

- ▶ learner picks $X_t \in [0, 1]^d$
- receives $Y_t = f(X_t) + \epsilon_t$, ϵ indep. noise s.t. $\mathbb{E}\epsilon_t = 0, |\epsilon_t| \le 1$



- Parameters : function f with $M(f) = \max_x f(x), n$
- ▶ for t = 1,...,n
 - ▶ learner picks $X_t \in [0, 1]^d$
 - receives $Y_t = f(X_t) + \epsilon_t$, ϵ indep. noise s.t. $\mathbb{E}\epsilon_t = 0, |\epsilon_t| \le 1$
- output $x(n) \in [0,1]^d$

Performance measures:

Simple regret: $r_n = M(f) - f(x(n))$

• Cumulative regret: $R_n = nM(f) - \sum_{t=1}^n f(X_t)$



Classical result for stochastic bandits

K-armed stochastic bandits

In the discrete case - f constant by parts on K known sets - classical stochastic bandits.



Idea

Approximate the continuous function f in K_n 'relevant' parts - this will depend on the regularity of f.

Classical result for stochastic bandits

K-armed stochastic bandits

In the discrete case - f constant by parts on K known sets - classical stochastic bandits.



The minimax regret satisfies (up to logarithmic terms)

 $\inf_{\substack{algo \ \mathcal{A}}} \sup_{K-discrete \ f} r_n(\mathcal{A}, f) \approx \sqrt{\frac{K}{n}},$ and $\inf_{\substack{algo \ \mathcal{A}}} \sup_{K-discrete \ f} R_n(\mathcal{A}, f) \approx \sqrt{nK}.$

Idea

Approximate the continuous function f in K_n 'relevant' parts - this will depend on the regularity of f.

Classical result for stochastic bandits

K-armed stochastic bandits

In the discrete case - f constant by parts on K known sets - classical stochastic bandits.



The minimax regret satisfies (up to logarithmic terms)

$$\inf_{\substack{algo \ \mathcal{A}}} \sup_{K-discrete \ f} r_n(\mathcal{A}, f) \approx \sqrt{\frac{K}{n}},$$

and
$$\inf_{\substack{algo \ \mathcal{A}}} \sup_{K-discrete \ f} R_n(\mathcal{A}, f) \approx \sqrt{nK}.$$

Idea

Approximate the continuous function f in K_n 'relevant' parts - this will depend on the regularity of f.

► Regularity condition: ∃α > 0 s.t. ∀x, y:

 $|f(x) - f(y)| \le ||x - y||_{\infty}^{\alpha},$

For $\alpha \leq 1$, Hölder assumption

► Regularity condition: ∃α > 0 s.t. ∀x, y:

 $|f(x) - f(y)| \le ||x - y||_{\infty}^{\alpha},$

For $\alpha \leq 1$, Hölder assumption



► Regularity condition: ∃α > 0 s.t. ∀x, y:

 $|f(x) - f(y)| \le ||x - y||_{\infty}^{\alpha},$

For $\alpha \leq 1$, Hölder assumption

• Margin condition: $\exists \beta \geq 0$ s.t.:

 $\operatorname{Vol}(x: M(f) - f(x) \le \Delta) \le \Delta^{\beta}$

No restriction for $\beta = 0$, larger β corresponds to easier problem

► Regularity condition: ∃α > 0 s.t. ∀x, y:

 $|f(x) - f(y)| \le ||x - y||_{\infty}^{\alpha},$

For $\alpha \leq 1$, Hölder assumption

• Margin condition: $\exists \beta \ge 0$ s.t.: Vol $(x: M(f) - f(x) \le \Delta) \le \Delta^{\beta}$

No restriction for $\beta = 0$, larger β corresponds to easier problem



Lower bounds

Define $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$ the class of functions that satisfy these assumptions.

Theorem: Lower-bound for α, β known [Bubeck et al. 11]

For any strategy that performs at most n noisy function evaluations, it holds that:

$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha,\beta)} \mathbb{E}_P[r_n] \ge \Box n^{-\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha+d-\alpha\beta}} := \Box r_{\alpha,\beta},$$
$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha,\beta)} \mathbb{E}_P[R_n] \ge \Box n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha+d-\alpha\beta}} := \Box R_{\alpha,\beta},$$

where \Box does not depend on the strategy and note that $R_{\alpha,\beta} = nr_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Goal: design procedures without access to α, β with optimal regret

Case α known

See e.g. [Agrawal (1995), Kleinberg (2004), Auer et al. (2007), Kleinberg et al. (2008), Bubeck et al. (2011a,b,c), Cope (2009), Munos (2014), Valko et al (2015)], etc.

Optimistic strategies (e.g. HOO in [Bubeck et al. 11]): use the knowledge of α to construct local (multi-scale) upper-confidence bounds on f, and choose next X_t optimistically.

Our strategy: similar intuition but works hierarchically (at a single scale), only refining the partition in promising cells of the previous partition.

Case α known

See e.g. [Agrawal (1995), Kleinberg (2004), Auer et al. (2007), Kleinberg et al. (2008), Bubeck et al. (2011a,b,c), Cope (2009), Munos (2014), Valko et al (2015)], etc.

Optimistic strategies (e.g. HOO in [Bubeck et al. 11]): use the knowledge of α to construct local (multi-scale) upper-confidence bounds on f, and choose next X_t optimistically.

Our strategy: similar intuition but works hierarchically (at a single scale), only refining the partition in promising cells of the previous partition.



Case α known

Theorem: Upper-bound for α known [Locatelli, C, 2018] Our strategy for opimisation is such that with probability at least $1 - n^{-1}$

$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha,\beta)} r_n \le \tilde{\Box} r_{\alpha,\beta}, \qquad \mathbb{E}_P R_n \le \tilde{\Box} R_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

See also [Bubeck et al (2011), Minsker (2013), Bull (2014), Valko et al (2015)] etc.

Adaptivity?

The algorithm naturally adapts to β but needs α as a parameter.

Question

Can we adapt to α ?

Adaptivity?

The algorithm naturally adapts to β but needs α as a parameter.

Question

Can we adapt to α ?

Reminder from adaptive inference :

- Adaptive estimation is possible in non-parametric regression
- Adaptive and honest confidence sets do not exist in non-parametric regression

Adaptivity?

The algorithm naturally adapts to β but needs α as a parameter.

Question

Can we adapt to α ?

Reminder from adaptive inference :

- ► Adaptive estimation is possible in non-parametric regression
- Adaptive and honest confidence sets do not exist in non-parametric regression

Question

Is the \mathcal{X} -armed bandit problem closer to adaptive estimation or adaptive and honest uncertainty quantification?

- Split budget in log² n chunks of same size
- Run previous strategy with $\alpha_i = \frac{i}{\log n}$ for all i

Aggregate recommendations

Idea: $\exists \alpha_{i^*} \text{ s.t.: } \alpha - \frac{1}{\log(n)} \leq \alpha_{i^*} \leq \alpha$

- Split budget in log² n chunks of same size
- Run previous strategy with $\alpha_i = \frac{i}{\log n}$ for all i
- ► Aggregate recommendations

Idea: $\exists \alpha_{i^*} \text{ s.t.: } \alpha - \frac{1}{\log(n)} \leq \alpha_{i^*} \leq \alpha$



- Split budget in log² n chunks of same size
- Run previous strategy with $\alpha_i = \frac{i}{\log n}$ for all i
- Aggregate recommendations

Idea: $\exists \alpha_{i^*} \text{ s.t.: } \alpha - \frac{1}{\log(n)} \leq \alpha_{i^*} \leq \alpha$

- Strategy 1: cross-validate [Grill et al. 15]
- ▶ Strategy 2: recommend $x(n) \in \bigcap_{i \leq \hat{I}} s_{n,\alpha_i}$ [LCK 17]

Recovers the optimal rate but adaptively!



- Split budget in log² n chunks of same size
- Run previous strategy with $\alpha_i = \frac{i}{\log n}$ for all i
- Aggregate recommendations

Idea: $\exists \alpha_{i^*} \text{ s.t.: } \alpha - \frac{1}{\log(n)} \leq \alpha_{i^*} \leq \alpha$

- Strategy 1: cross-validate [Grill et al. 15]
- ▶ Strategy 2: recommend $x(n) \in \bigcap_{i < \hat{I}} s_{n,\alpha_i}$ [LCK 17]

Recovers the optimal rate but adaptively!


Adaptivity for simple regret (optimisation) α -Adaptive strategy:

- Split budget in log² n chunks of same size
- Run previous strategy with $\alpha_i = \frac{i}{\log n}$ for all i
- Aggregate recommendations

Idea: $\exists \alpha_{i^*} \text{ s.t.: } \alpha - \frac{1}{\log(n)} \leq \alpha_{i^*} \leq \alpha$

Theorem: Upper-bound simple regret [Locatelli, C, 2018]

Our strategy yields whp

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta\in S} \sup_{P\in\mathcal{P}(\alpha,\beta)} \frac{r_n}{\log(n)^u r_{\alpha,\beta}} \leq \Box.$$



Adaptivity for Cumulative regret

Intuition: previous strategy favors exploration (linear regret)

Can we adaptively balance exploration/exploitation?

Theorem: Impossibility result for adaptive cumulative regret [Locatelli, C, 2018]

Fix $\gamma > \alpha > 0$ and β . Any strategy with (near)-optimal regret bounded by $\widetilde{\Box}R_{\alpha,\beta}$ uniformly over $\mathcal{P}(\alpha,\beta)$ is such that

 $\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\gamma,\beta)} \mathbb{E}_P[R_n] \ge \widehat{\Box} R_{\alpha,\beta}.$

In fact something more refined holds for any algorithm with given rate on $\mathcal{P}(\gamma, \beta)$ or $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Adaptivity for Cumulative regret

Intuition: previous strategy favors exploration (linear regret)

Can we adaptively balance exploration/exploitation?

Theorem: Impossibility result for adaptive cumulative regret [Locatelli, C, 2018]

Fix $\gamma > \alpha > 0$ and β . Any strategy with (near)-optimal regret bounded by $\widetilde{\Box}R_{\alpha,\beta}$ uniformly over $\mathcal{P}(\alpha,\beta)$ is such that

 $\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\gamma,\beta)} \mathbb{E}_P[R_n] \ge \widehat{\Box} R_{\alpha,\beta}.$

In fact something more refined holds for any algorithm with given rate on $\mathcal{P}(\gamma, \beta)$ or $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Adaptivity for Cumulative regret

Intuition: previous strategy favors exploration (linear regret)

Can we adaptively balance exploration/exploitation?

Theorem: Impossibility result for adaptive cumulative regret [Locatelli, C, 2018]

Fix $\gamma > \alpha > 0$ and β . Any strategy with (near)-optimal regret bounded by $\tilde{\Box} R_{\alpha,\beta}$ uniformly over $\mathcal{P}(\alpha,\beta)$ is such that

 $\sup_{P\in\mathcal{P}(\gamma,\beta)}\mathbb{E}_P[R_n]\geq \tilde{\Box}R_{\alpha,\beta}.$

In fact something more refined holds for any algorithm with given rate on $\mathcal{P}(\gamma, \beta)$ or $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Conclusion

Adaptivity possible for simple regret but not for cumulative regret.

- Simple regret is in essence closer to adaptive estimation : adaptation possible
- Cumulative regret is in essence closer to adaptive and honest confidence sets : adaptation impossible

More systematic relation to adaptivity in active learning?